

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-3841/1dn
MES:cjs:jf

February 1, 2012

Senator Erpenbach:

You may wish to check with the city of Middleton, or DOR, to determine whether the expenditure period needs to be extended in s. 66.1105 (6) (am). Also, the predrafted language in proposed s. 66.1105 (6) (a) 3., which I created in subd. 10., refers to “a city in Dane County that was originally incorporated as a village in 1905, has a population of 17,442 and is partially located in the Pheasant Branch Creek watershed.” That language is unnecessary. The bill may simply refer to the city of Middleton, as is done in created s. 66.1105 (4) (h) 9. This is a single-subject bill, with the subject expressed in the title. Consequently, identifying the particular city affected by the bill will not violate the constitutional provisions relating to private and local bills which embrace more than one subject.

Marc E. Shovers
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0129
E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov